

Notes NDP Meeting 17th November 2014

All agreed vision by CS cover pages 5-6 of NDP

References to be included

- First & second questionnaire analysis
- Focus group discussions and minutes
- Public meetings

Discussions on road map – use contents page as a basis.

Consideration that sub-committee work may need re doing after proposal has been received from KH as plan may change

Question: Does the information for public/parish meeting affect the NDP?

Will need to send letter/invitation advising details of meeting – then the vote comes from the community via a tear off slip -Yes or No- consultation and voting form. Returned slip will show views on the proposal. This will inform PC whether to put ourselves behind the bid or not.

NDP to be completed within the year. We consult the parish at the point we consult.

Can we do NDP or should we wait until result comes through?

What does it affect? Current consultation advises growth at lower rate (2-3 house per year as per core strategy), so we need a new consultation to ask if community would be happy to have new development. JP expressed concern at Hern's having an opportunity to show and present their personal plan and rather the PC should use the parish meeting to explain why we need more development to sustain the village centre and prove how extended growth would support village needs.

RA suggested KH would make a lot of money from developments and possible negotiations could be made for larger amount money to be given to Village. Consultation through NPPF

All agreed that a question on sustainability was excluded from the original consultation

Suggestion for parish meeting

Presentation to discuss Issues:

- Village needs to be sustainable - needs more economic growth to fund aspirations
- Since consultation – local bought pub change circumstances:
- Community income only comes from development or s106
- Delay in structure plan has created this opportunity albeit temporary to provide this consultation.
- This is an opportunity that the PC is minded to support but need to see views of community.

It is considered that the questionnaire did not actually ask the question if a larger sized development would be accepted. All agreed that what is currently proposed in the NDP doesn't work to maintain the village centre. S106 money will have to be spent before development complete? I.e sewers pavements etc. Village contribution needs to be up front? What financial position is KH in? Confirm if KH is financially viable to honour contributions to village before development finished.

Suggested an agreement should be made associated with the planning opportunity to borrow against future money from KH and also the future receipt of village hall plot sale. Part of KH village contribution will be fixing the pub must not be forgotten.

Question: Can we continue with NDP until consultation of KH development?

Yes, continue on policies and around the edge details.

Period of planning

- UDP doesn't exist NPPF rules - current- Hereford planning
- Gap between now and NDP approved – where we are now Hereford- core strategy being developed.
- After NDP – core strategy is set – NDP complies with it and policies are dictated by NDP applied by planners

Road Map of work required

Need to wait until more defined details regarding the development plans are available.

In the mean time we can continue by:

- Preparing information for parish meeting
- NDP - Refine policies to accompany the document - make consistent with format and structure.

Action points

Becky - Circulate policies via email and create page of policies from the NDP document

Becky – Forward current policies on file from Kate

Prepare ideas to for main discussions points for parish meeting presentation.

Ron to enquire with planning on Memorial Hall and report -

Next meeting 1st December 2014 5.30pm – Wildwood.

Discuss main points for presentation

Work on policies - Agree a format/template for policies

Think about village hall in the NDP. Old site suitable for housing?